

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, 3, 8-11, 25-28 and 32 are pending. By this response, claims 1 and 25 are amended and claims 5-7, 12-15, 18-22, 29-31 and 33-37 are canceled. Reconsideration and allowance based on the above amendments and following remarks are respectfully requested.

Interviews

Applicants appreciate the courtesies extended to Applicants representative during the telephone interviews conducted on October 2nd and October 19th regarding the present application. During the interviews, the Examiner asserted that the present claim language is not patentable in view of the cited art. The Examiner and Applicants representative discussed possible features that would likely provide allowable subject matter if amended into the independent claims. Initially, the Examiner and his supervisor were unable to make a determination of allowable subject matter in the present application. However, in a subsequent review of the application the Examiner asserted that the features with respect to dependent claims 7 and 31 contain subject matter in which the user is able to cancel columns which the Examiner asserts is not taught by the prior art. The Examiner requested further clarification of this particular feature in the claims and stated that he believed that such subject matter would be allowable.

Applicants respectfully submit that independent claims 1 and 25 have been amended to include the features of dependent claims 7 and 31 and their respective intermediate claims. Also, the features of dependent claim 7 and 31 have been amended to clarify the features recited therein as disclosed in the specification. Applicants respectfully submit that independent claims 1 and 25 should be in condition for allowance.

Prior Art Rejections

Claims 1-7, 9-20, 22-24, 33-34 and 36-37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) in view of Rista and claims 25-32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by "Neat Stuff to do in List Controls Using Custom Draw" by Michael Dunn and claims 8, 21, and 35 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rista in view of Heller, et al. These rejections are respectfully traversed. Applicants note that claims 5-7, 12-15, 18-22, 29-31 and 33-37 have been cancelled. Accordingly, the rejections with respect to these claims are now moot.

Regarding independent claims 1 and 25, these claims have been amended to include the features of their respective dependent claims 7 and 31 and their intermediate claims as discussed in the interview with the Examiner. Claim 1 now recites, *inter alia*, wherein the list view component has a details mode in which the drawing handler component may be required, the detailed mode may include multiple columns, where the user is able to cancel one or

more of said multiple columns by turning the cancelled one or more columns off, that would otherwise require the drawing handler component.

Claim 25 recites, *inter alia*, wherein the list view component has a details mode, in which the user may select or cancel one or more columns from amongst a plurality of columns that may be included in said details mode, which requires the use of the drawing handler component, where the canceled one or more columns is turned off.

Applicants respectfully submit that Rista, Dunn and Heller, et al. fail to teach the above claimed features. At best, Rista, Dunn and Heller, et al teach implementing a column which has been preprogrammed. However, neither of these references teach or suggest that a user be able to cancel one or more columns where the column is turned off by the user.

In view of the above, applicants respectfully submit that claims 1 and 25 are distinguishable over the provided references, Rista, Dunn and Heller, et al. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.


Conclusion

For at least the above reasons, Applicants respectfully submit claims 1-3, 8-11, 25-28 and 32 are distinguishable over the cited art. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Chad J. Billings Reg. No. 48,917 at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: November 26, 2007 (Monday) Respectfully submitted,

By 
Chad J. Billings
Registration No.: 48,917
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
8110 Gatehouse Road, Suite 100 East
P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000
Attorney for Applicant